

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,349	IMAOKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuyen Q. Tra	2873	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Tuyen Q. Tra (Examiner).

(3) _____

 (2) Aaron Fishman (Applicant's representative).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 February 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

11 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant gave the examiner permission to do examiner amendment on claims 11 and 14, as described in office action, in order for application in condition for allowane.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Tuyen Tra 2/5/2007

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)